ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

RSCPublishing

Double Smiles rearrangement of Passerini adducts towards benzoxazinones.

ChemComm

E. Martinand-Lurin,^{b,c} A. Dos Santos,^a L. El Kaim,^{*a} L. Grimaud^{*b} and P. Retailleau^c

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A new straightforward access to benzoxazinones based on a three-component coupling is presented here. The mechanism of the whole process involves a double aryl transfer as clearly underlined by the X-ray diffraction analysis of the products.

Smiles rearrangements consist in the transfer of an aromatic ring from one heteroatom to another one located in the same chain. Thoroughly studied by Samuel Smiles in 1930s,¹ the synthetic interest for these rearrangements² was recently rekindled by their integration in complex synthetic cascades. Among these, one of the most impressive use of Smiles rearrangements in carbon-carbon forming reaction appeared as a key step in the Julia-Kocienski olefin synthesis (Scheme 1).^{3,4} A few years ago, we reported that Smiles rearrangements could trigger new isocyanide-based multicomponent reactions involving hydroxyaromatics such as nitrophenols or hydroxypyridines (Ugi-Smiles⁵ and Passerini-Smiles⁶ couplings). Considering the structures of Passerini-Smiles adducts and knowing that Smiles rearrangements usually favor N-aryl derivatives over O-aryl ones, we envisioned that these adducts could undergo a second Smiles rearrangement towards N-arylamides (Scheme 1).

If such transformation could be performed in a one-pot procedure from the starting Passerini components, it would represent the first carbon-carbon bond forming process featuring two Smiles rearrangements as key steps. Herein, we wish to present our progress towards this Passerini-Smiles-Smiles sequence and report on a new 1,4-benzoxazinones synthesis together with improved conditions for the threecomponent coupling. Smiles in C-C forming process:

Julia-Kocienski





Scheme 1 Smiles rearrangements in C-C bond forming reactions.

The Passerini-Smiles reaction of 1,1,1-trifluoroacetone was chosen to demonstrate the feasibility of the cascade. Though the formation of such adducts is usually less efficient with ketones than aldehydes, the following formation of the Smiles spiro intermediate is expected to be favored by a Thorpe-Ingold effect. Smiles rearrangement of amides is usually performed in polar solvent under basic conditions. When the adduct **1a** was treated with potassium or cesium carbonate in acetonitrile under reflux conditions no transformation could be observed. However, we were delighted to observe a clean formation of benzoxazinone **2a** under microwave irradiations at 130 °C (Scheme 2).

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012





Scheme 2 First trial of Passerini-Smiles-Smiles.

Before addressing the mechanism of this transformation by a proper substitution of the aromatic moiety, we decided to spend more time on the first Passerini step. Our initial report on the Passerini-Smiles reaction proposes methanol as solvent heating at 40 to 60 $^{\circ}$ C under concentrated condition (1 M). Under prolonged heating, methanol slowly evaporates and a stable concentration is difficult to maintain. As a consequence, we found that yields were difficult to reproduce with both aldehydes and ketones showing a strong dependence of the reaction vessel and scale.

Performing the Passerini-Smiles reaction in neat conditions as recently reported for Passerini coupling⁷ significantly improved the procedure for ketones. As classically observed in Passerini-type reactions, they are more reluctant to react than aldehydes and required one to three days of heating for completion. Simple ketones such as pentan-2-one or cyclohexanone do not react (Entries 2-3, Table 1) but strained ones (entries 4-6, Table 1) or ketones bearing electron-withdrawing groups (entries 1 and 7-8, Table 1) are activated enough to be coupled under these conditions. The reaction is efficient even with substituted 2-nitrophenols (entries 4, 6 and 8, Table 1).

 Table 1 Scope of Passerini Smiles couplings with ketones.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R	0 1 R ² R ³ NC X	OH NO ₂	0 R³HN 55 ℃, 3d R ³ HN	→0 1 1	NO ₂
2 Et Et CyNC H - 3 CyNC H - 4 CyNC Cl Ib (50%) 5 H Ic (63%) 6 Br Id (58%) 7 CH ₂ OCH ₃ CH ₃ CyNC H Ie (65%)	Entry	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³ NC	Х	
3 CyNC H - 4 CyNC Cl 1b (50%) 5 H 1c (63%) 6 Br 1d (58%) 7 CH ₂ OCH ₃ CH ₃ CyNC H 1e (65%)	1	CF ₃	CH ₃	CyNC	Н	1a (78%)
4 CyNC Cl 1b (50%) 5 H 1c (63%) 6 Br 1d (58%) 7 CH ₂ OCH ₃ CH ₃ CyNC H 1e (65%)	2	Et	Et	CyNC	Н	-
5 H 1c (63%) 6 Br 1d (58%) 7 CH ₂ OCH ₃ CH ₃ CyNC H 1e (65%)	3			CyNC	Н	-
6 Br 1d (58%) 7 CH ₂ OCH ₃ CH ₃ CyNC H 1e (65%)	4			CyNC	Cl	1b (50%)
7 CH ₂ OCH ₃ CH ₃ CyNC H 1e (65%)	5				Н	1c (63%)
	6				Br	1d (58%)
8 CH ₂ OCH ₃ CH ₃ Cl 1f (59%)	7	CH ₂ OCH ₃	CH_3	CyNC	Н	1e (65%)
	8	CH ₂ OCH ₃	CH ₃		Cl	1f (59%)

However, no improvement was observed with aldehydes under neat conditions. Indeed, when cyclohexylisocyanide and propionaldehyde were heated with 2-nitrophenol, an important amount of acetal 3g was obtained as side-product with still unsatisfactory overall yields (Scheme 3). In order to improve the 3-CC with aldehydes, various additives were tested following Mironov study on the accelerating effect of 4-nitrothiophenol in Passerini couplings.⁸ No substantial difference was observed with thiophenol but a stoichiometric amount of N,N-dimethylpiperazine afforded 57% of compound **1g** along with 5% of acetal **3g**. *N*,*N*-Diisopropylethylamine did not improve the coupling but N-Methylpyrrolidine slightly increased the yields. Finally, DABCO gave to best results as no traces of acetal could be detected in these conditions (Scheme 3).

EtCHO +	OH neat		
CyNC	NO ₂ 55 °C, 3d CyHN Et	\square	+ CyHN Et Et
	additives	1g	3g
	none	34%	10%
	4-nitrothiophenol (5 mol%)	32%	9%
	i-Pr ₂ NEt (1 equiv.)	34%	8%
	N-methylpyrrolidine (1 equiv.)	66%	2%
	DABCO (0.5 equiv.)	58%	4%
	DABCO (1 equiv.)	65%	0%
	N,N-dimethylpiperazine (1 equiv.)	54%	2%
	tetramethylenediamine (1 equiv.)	60%	4%
	DBU (1 equiv.)	9%	0%
<i>a</i> .			

Scheme 3 Passerini-Smiles couplings of aldehydes with additives.

To gain further insight in the role of DABCO and N,N-dimethylpiperazine, a kinetic study was performed for the coupling of *i*-butyraldehyde with 2-nitrophenol and cyclohexylisocyanide. The progress of the reaction was followed by NMR and the results shown in Figure 1. In both cases, yields and reaction times are dramatically impacted by the presence of the tertiary amine. Indeed, after 3 hours, the reactions performed with additives did not evolve notably and the desired adduct 1j was isolated in 74% and 65% with DABCO and N,N-dimethylpiperazine respectively, instead of 35% without additive. In order to improve even more the conversion rate, the reaction was performed using two equivalents of aldehyde, affording with one equivalent of DABCO 1j in 91% yields after 12 h. The effect of the added amine on acetal formation is best explained by a possible storage of the aldehyde as an intermediate hemiaminal, disminishing thus the probability for a second molecule to interact with the three other partners. The amine may act as a relay in the required proton transfers as well, which can explain the observed kinetic enhancement. It is worth noting that the addition of DABCO with ketones has a detrimental effect on yields. In this case, acetal formation is not operative and base triggered self-condensation of the ketones could constitute a competitive pathway.



Figure 1 Kinetic studies and additives.

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

Page 3 of 4

Journal Name

A set of aldehydes, nitrophenols and isocyanides were treated under these conditions and the reactions stopped after 12 hours. The reaction is efficient for various aliphatic aldehydes. Substituents on the phenol do not affect the process and the reaction is still efficient with both electron-withdrawing (entries 5-6 and 8-13, Table 2) and -donating groups (entries 7 and 14, Table 2).

Table 2Scope of Passerini-Smiles couplings with aldehydes.

0 R ¹ H R ² NC	+ X	OH DABCO neat $55 ^{\circ}\text{C}$, 12 h	ر R ² HN	NO_2 R^1 X
Entry	\mathbf{R}^1	R ² NC	Х	Product (Yield)
1	Et	CyNC	Н	1g (88%)
2	t-Bu	CyNC	Н	1h (85%)
3	$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{Ph}$	CyNC	Н	1i (85%)
4	<i>i</i> -Pr	CyNC	Н	1j (91%)
5	Et	t-BuNC	Cl	1k (79%)
6	Et	MeO	CN	11 (61%)
7	<i>i</i> -Bu	C NC	Me	1m (72%)
8		MeO NC	CF_3	1n (82%)
9	<i>i</i> -Pr	t-BuNC	Cl	1o (68%)
10	t-Bu		Cl	1p (86%)
11	<i>i</i> -Pr	MeO	Br	1q (96%)
12	t-Bu	NC	Br	1r (78%)
13	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Me	1s (83%)
14	t-Bu		MeO	1t (78%)

The second Smiles rearrangement was next investigated. When the conditions settled for **1a** were tested with **1j**, no cyclization was observed. The reaction was thus optimized to find suitable conditions for Passerini-Smiles adducts formed from both aldehydes and ketones (Table 3).

Table 3. Opt	imization	for the	basic	cyclization	

	Cy_NHJO		e, solvent F, ∆t		
Entry	Base (equiv.)	Solvent	T (°C)	Rxn Time	Yield (SM)
1	$Cs_2CO_3(1)$	MeCN	130 (µW)	30 min	8 (89)
	$Cs_2CO_3(1)$	DMF	100	3h	0 (100)
3	DBU (1.5)	DMF	100	3h	0 (100)
4	NaH (2)	DMF	100	3h	54 (30)
5	KH (1.5)	DMF	100	3h	69 (10)
6	t-BuOK (1.5)	MeCN	75	2h	28
7	t-BuOK (1)	DMF	100	2h	79 (17)
8	t-BuOK (1.5)	DMF	100	2h	76 (0)
9	t-BuOK (1.5)	$\mathrm{DMF}^{\mathrm{a}}$	100	1h	89 (0)

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

10	t-BuOK (1.5 or 2)	DMF	120	2h	83 (0)
11	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (1)+NaH (1.2)	THF	100	3h	75 (25)
12	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (1)+NaH (1.2)	DMF	100	3h	58 (13)
13	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (1)+NaH (1.2)	DMF	120	3h	81 (3)

^aThe concentration of the reaction mixture was 0.2M instead of 0.4M.

Whereas combination of cesium carbonate with NaH or DBU in acetonitrile or THF proved unsatisfactory, stronger bases in more polar solvent gave good conversions. Best conditions were obtained either with a NaH/Cs₂CO₃ combination or with potassium *tert*-butoxide in DMF (entry 1, Table 3). In the former case, a more reactive cesium amide intermediate is probably formed along the process.

For simplicity, potassium *tert*-butoxide was selected as the base in DMF as solvent, and a set of Passerini-Smiles adducts **1** were submitted to these conditions (Table 4).

The cyclization of adduct **1b** shed some light on the mechanism of the cyclization. X-ray analyses of **2b** demonstrate a *para*substitution pattern between the chloro and the nitrogen atoms of the aromatic core rejecting a direct substitution of the nitro group by the amide anion. The mechanism most probably involves the formation of the Meisenheimer intermediate **5** which settles a Smiles rearrangement between the amide anion **4** and the alcoholate **6**.² Hydrolysis of the alcohol is not observed in this case as a displacement of the nitro by the oxygen atom of the spiro in **5** shifts the equilibrium towards benzoxazinone **2b**. This analysis is confirmed by few nitro group displacements under Smiles transfer conditions⁹ as well as several theoretical studies concerning SNAr reactions coupled with these rearrangements.¹⁰



Scheme 4 Mechanism of the cyclization.

Finally, the whole process was attempted according to a onepot procedure. After the Passerini-Smiles step performed in neat conditions, DMF was added together with potassium *tert*butoxide and the mixture heated for one hour at 100 °C. Rewardingly, the DABCO introduced in the first step does not disturb the following cyclization and good yields were obtained for a set of aldehydes and ketones as shown in Table 4.

Table 5. One-pot procedure

F	0 R ¹ R ² R ³ NC X ⁻	OH NO ₂	1. DABCO, neat, 55 °C 2. <i>t</i> BuOK, DMF, 100 °(*	$ \begin{array}{c} $
Entry	\mathbf{R}_1	R_2	R ₃ NC	х	Product (Yield)
1	CF ₃	CH ₃	CyNC	Н	2a (71%) ^a
2		,0	Meo	Н	2c (61%) ^a
3	CH ₂ OCH ₃	CH ₃	CyNC	Н	2e (48%) ^a
4	<i>i</i> -Pr	Н	CyNC	Н	2j (88%)
5	t-Bu	Н	C NC	Cl	2p (89%)
6	<i>i</i> -Pr	Н	MeO NC MeO NC	Br	2q (65%)
7	<i>t</i> -Bu	Н		OMe	2t (63%)

^a DABCO was not added for reactions with ketones.

Conclusions

We have disclosed here a new isocyanide based multicomponent access towards benzoxazinones. It represents one of the most advanced and powerful use of Smiles rearrangement in synthesis displaying a cascade of two Smiles rearrangements coupled with a carbon-carbon bond formation. The nitro group plays a central role in the process triggering both Smiles before is elimination at the end of the sequence. Besides these mechanistic features, the one-pot formation of benzoxazinones from *o*-nitrophenol has a high interest in medicinal chemistry as these heterocycles display a wide array of biological activities.¹¹

ACKNOWLEDGMENT We thank Sofia Kaddouri and Jessica Abdo for their internship at ENSTA. We thank ICSN for help and assistance, ENSTA, ENS and CNRS for financial support.

Notes and references

^{*a*}UMR 7652 (Ecole Polytechnique/ENSTA/CNRS), Laboratoire Chimie et Procédés, ENSTA-ParisTech, 828 Bd des maréchaux, 91120 Palaiseau, France. Tel: 0033181872020; E-mail: laurent.elkaim@ensta-paristech.fr. ^{*b*}UPMC-ENS-CNRS-UMR 8640. Ecole Normale Supérieure-Département de chimie. 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France. E-mail :laurence.grimaud@ens.fr.

^cUPR 2301 CNRS. Centre de Recherche de Gif-sur-Yvette, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles. Avenue de la Terrasse, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette,France.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- L. A. Warren, S. Smiles J. Chem. Soc., 1930, 935. L. A. Warren, S. Smiles J. Chem. Soc., 1930, 1327.
- For reviews, see: a) J. F. Bunnet, R. E. Zahler, R. E. Chem. Rev., 1951, 49, 273. b) W. E. Truce, E. M. Kreider, W. W. Brand Org. React.(NY), 1970, 18, 99. c) T. N. Gerasimova, E. F. Kolchina Russ. Chem. Rev., 1994, 66, 69. d) T. N. Gerasimova, E. F. Kolchina Russ. Chem. Rev., 1995, 64, 133. e) K. Plesniak, A. Zarecki, J. Wicha Top.Curr. Chem., 2007, 275, 163. f) T. J. Snape Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 2452.
- For selected recent examples, see: a) X. Huang, R. Goddard, N. Maulide Angew.Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 8979. b) Y. L. Jin, S. Kim, Y. S. Kim, S. A. Kim, H. S. Kim Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 6835. c) M. Nielsen, C. B. Jacobsen, M. W. Paixão, N. Holub, K. A. Jørgensen J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 10581. d) M. W. Paixão, N. Holub, C. Vila, M. Nielsen, K. A. Jørgensen Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 7338. e) Y. –D. Shao, X. –S. Wu, S. –K. Tian Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 1590. f) B. Prüger, G. E. Hofmeister, C. B. Jacobsen, D. G. Alberg, M. Nielsen, K. A. Jørgensen Chem. Eur. J., 2010, 16, 3783. g) F. –L. Wu, B. P. Ross, R. P. McGeary Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 1989.
- For recent reviews, see: a) C. Aïssa *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 1831. b) B. Zajc, R. Kumar *Synthesis*, 2010, **11**, 1822.
- 5 a) L. El Kaim, L. Grimaud, J. Oble Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005,
 44, 7961. b) L. El Kaïm, M. Gizolme, L. Grimaud, J. Oble J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 4169. c) L. El Kaïm, L. Grimaud Mol. Div., 2010, 14, 855.
- 6 L. El Kaïm, M. Gizolme, L. Grimaud Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 5021.
- a) D. Koszelewski, W. Szymanski, J. Krysiak, R. Ostaszewski Synth. Commun., 2008, 38, 1120. b) T. Bousquet, M. Jida, M. Soueidan, R. Deprez-Poulain, F. Agbossou-Niedercorn, L. Pelinski Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 306. c) C. Cui, C. Zhu, X. –J. Du, Z. –P. Wang, Z. -M. Li, W. –G. Zhao Green Chem., 2012, 14, 3157.
- 8 M. Mironov *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 3957.
- 9 G. Rotas, A. Kimbaris, G. Varvounis *Tetrahedron*, 2004, 60, 10825.
- H. Sun, J. Li, D. Zhang, C. Ma, C. Liu J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2008, 21, 215.
- 11 For a short review on the pharmacological profile of benzoxazinones see: N. Siddiqui, R. Ali, M. S. S. Alam, W. Ahsan J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 2010, 2, 309.